1. PROJECT TITLE:

Broadleaf Herbicide and Foliar Fungicide Options for Flax

2. PROJECT NUMBER: #20120436

3. PRODUCER GROUP SPONSORING THE PROJECT

Saskatchewan Flax Development Commission

A5A - 116 - 103rd Street East

Saskatoon, Saskatchewan S7N 1Y7

Telephone: 306-664-1901

Fax: 306-664-4404

Email: saskflax@saskflax.com Web: www.saskflax.com

SaskFlax and IHARF appreciated the support of FMC Canada Inc. Mitch Long mitch.long@fmc.com

Mike Gerhardt mike.gerhardt@fmc.com

Carmen Wassermann Carmen.wassermann@fmc.com

4. PROJECT LOCATION

This project was conducted on rented land near Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Indian Head Research Farm. The legal land description: NE 31 18 12 W2 (RM #156).

5. PROJECT START AND END DATES

Start date: April 1, 2013 End date: January 15, 2014

6. PROJECT CONTACT PERSONS

Administrator:

Linda Braun, Executive Director Saskatchewan Flax Development Commission (SaskFlax)

Tel. (306) 664-1901 Fax. (306) 664-4404

Email: saskflax@saskflax.com

Project Manager:

Chris Holzapfel, Research Manager Indian Head Agricultural Research Foundation (IHARF)

Tel. (306) 695-4200 Fax. (306) 695-3445

Email: cholzapfel.iliard@sasktel.net

7. PROJECT OBJECTIVE

To demonstrate some of the herbicide and fungicide options that are currently registered for flax in Saskatchewan and provide a forum for discussion on the advantages and disadvantages of the different products.

8. RATIONALE

There are relatively few broadleaf herbicide options for flax, those that are available have distinct modes of action and also vary in their ability to control specific spectrums of weeds. While there are important benefits to rotating modes of action, growers must also consider the specific weed populations they are aiming to control when selecting herbicides.

With respect to foliar fungicide, only Headline EC is registered for control of pasmo, the most common disease affecting flax in southeast Saskatchewan, but producers frequently question the potential return on investment for fungicide application on flax. Deciding whether or not a foliar fungicide application is warranted can be difficult. Field trials completed in recent years near Indian Head have shown a consistent response to fungicide applications on flax and past research and producer testimonials suggest that with application, higher levels of fertility are possible without lodging. This demonstration provided a forum for discussing the options for controlling broadleaf weeds and disease in flax and helped to further educate producers on this matter.

9. METHODOLOGY

Two separate field demonstrations were completed in 2013 by the Indian Head Agricultural Research Foundation (IHARF) on behalf of the Saskatchewan Flax Development Commission. The trials were located near Indian Head, Saskatchewan (R.M. #156) on an Indian Head Heavy Clay (Rego thin Black Chernozem) soil. The first of the trials focussed on broadleaf herbicide options for flax while the second demonstrated the effects of registered foliar fungicide applications on flax. The plots were arranged in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with treatments in the broadleaf herbicide demonstration replicated four times and those in the fungicide demonstration replicated eight times. The treatments which were evaluated are listed in Table 1.

For both demonstrations the variety CDC Bethune was seeded directly into standing cereal stubble on May 13 using a Conserva-Pak plot drill equipped with 14 openers spaced 30 cm apart and a trimmed plot length of 10.5 m. The seeding rate used was 49 kg ha⁻¹ and urea, monoammonium phosphate, potassium chloride and ammonium sulphate were sided banded at seeding to supply 90 kg N ha⁻¹, 22 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, 11 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ and 11 kg S ha⁻¹ across all treatments. All plots received a pre-emergent burnoff of 590 g glyphosate ha⁻¹ on May 17 and, unless dictated otherwise by the protocols, weeds were controlled with 280 g bromoxynil ha⁻¹ plus 280 g MCPA ester ha⁻¹ (June 24) along with two separate applications of 40 g tepraloxydim ha⁻¹ (June 12 and June 28) to control multiple flushes of wild oats and a single application of 99 g pyraclostrobin ha⁻¹ on July 10 to minimize the potential effects of pasmo. In the broadleaf herbicide demonstration, weeds were counted and identified in four 0.25 m2 quadrants per plot on July 11.

Check plots in the fungicide demonstration were monitored throughout the reproductive growth stages

but pasmo was not observed at any point and therefore formal disease ratings were not completed. The centre five rows of each plot were straight-combined on September 23-24 using a Wintersteiger plot combine and all harvest samples were cleaned, weighed and yields were converted to kg ha⁻¹ and corrected to 10% seed moisture content. Weed survey data were not statistically analysed and yield data were analysed using the GLM procedure of SAS and Fisher's protected Least Significant Difference test with treatment differences declared significant at $P \le 0.05$. Growing season weather data were monitored and recorded using the nearest Environment Canada weather station which was located approximately 1.75 km southwest of the field site.

Table 1. Herbicide and Fungicide Treatments	
A. Broadleaf Herbicide Treatments*	B. Fungicide Treatments**
1) No in-crop broadleaf herbicide	1) No foliar fungicide
2) 505 g MCPA ester ha ⁻¹	2) 99 g pyraclostrobin ha ⁻¹
3) 141 g sulfentrazone ha ⁻¹	
4) 141 g sulfentrazone ha ⁻¹ + 280 g bromoxynil ha ⁻¹ + 280 g MCPA ester ha ⁻¹	
5) 280 g bromoxynil ha ⁻¹ + 280 g MCPA ester ha ⁻¹	
6) 560 g MCPA ester ha ⁻¹ + 100 g clopyralid ha ⁻¹	

^{*}All broadleaf herbicides were applied in 168 I ha⁻¹ solution on June 24 with the exception of sulfentrazone which was applied on May 17

10. RESULTS

10-A. PROJECT RESULTS

Weather

Year	May	June	July	August	Avg. / Total
		Me	ean Temperature	(°C)	
2013	11.9	15.3	16.3	17.1	15.2
Long-term	10.8	15.8	18.2	17.4	15.6
			Precipitation (mm)	,,,,,,
2013	17.1	103.8	50.4	6.1	177
Long-term	51.8	77.4	63.8	51.2	244

There were above-average temperatures in May and cooler than normal temperatures in July; otherwise close to normal temperatures. It was drier than normal overall, but well above normal precipitation in June. It was very dry late in summer.

^{**}Fungicide treatments applied in 224 l ha⁻¹ solution on July 10

A: Broadleaf Herbicide Options

Table 3. Weed surv	ey results					
Weed Species	Herbicide Treatment					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
			# of	weeds m ⁻²		
Wild buckwheat	6.75	9.25	1.25	0	1.5	2.0
Wild mustard	3.5	0	5.75	0	0	0
Volunteer canola	3.0	0	3.5	0	0	0
Prickly lettuce	3.0	0	0	0	0	0
Lamb's Quarters	2.75	0	0	0	0	0
Canada thistle	1.75	0.25	0.75	0.25	0	0
Thyme-leaved spurge	0.75	0.25	0	0	0	0
Stinkweed	0.25	0	0	0	0	0
Round-leaved mallow	0	0	0	0.25	0	0
Total	21.75	9.75	11.25	0.5	1.5	2.0

- Predominant weed species present were wild buckwheat, wild mustard, volunteer canola, prickly lettuce and lambs quarters.
- Sulfentrazone does not control wild mustard or volunteer canola but greatly reduced incidence of wild buckwheat and lambs quarters relative to check.
- MCPA on its own did not provide long term control of wild buckwheat but provided adequate control of most other weed species.
- Treatments 4, 5 and 6 had similar levels of weed control although wild buckwheat was most thoroughly controlled when pre-emergent sulfentrazone was used in combination with bromoxynil plus MCPA in-crop.

Treatment	Dockage (%)	Seed Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
1) No in-crop broadleaf herbicide	29.0 a	1698 с
2) 505 g MCPA ester ha ⁻¹	4.9 с	2619 b
3) 141 g sulfentrazone ha ⁻¹	12.5 b	2696 ab
4) 141 g sulfentrazone ha ⁻¹ + 280 g bromoxynil ha ⁻¹ + 280 g MCPA ester ha ⁻¹	3.0 с	3113 a
5) 280 g bromoxynil ha ⁻¹ + 280 g MCPA ester ha ⁻¹	3.4 с	3058 ab
6) 560 g MCPA ester ha ⁻¹ + 100 g clopyralid ha ⁻¹	4.0 c	2939 ab
CV	48%	12%
SE	2.2	158
Pr > F	<0.001	< 0.001

Percent dockage in the check was significantly higher than for all other treatments followed by sulfentrazone applied on its own; similar dockage levels were observed for Treatments 2, 4, 5 and 6. Grain yield in the check was significantly lower than all other treatments and yields for Treatments
 4, 5 and 6 were very similar.

B: Foliar Fungicide Options

Table 5. Foliar fungicide effects on flax yield.		
Treatment	Seed Yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	
1) No foliar fungicide	3040 a	
2) 99 g pyraclostrobin ha ⁻¹	3158 a	
CV	4.0%	
SE	44.0	
Pr > F	0.102	

Yield difference between the check and Headline treatment was not statistically significant when this data were analysed alone; however, when combined with trial results from 5 site -years at Indian Head and Swift Current, the response at Indian Head in 2013 was in fact, significant (data not shown).

10-B. PROJECT EXTENSION ACTIVITIES

This project was presented at a field day at the AAFC Research Station in Indian Head on July 25, 2013. There were 68 people in attendance, including producers, researchers, industry and staff.

The day began with registration and coffee in the morning, followed by introductions and an explanation of the ADOPT program. The group travelled to the field plots and toured each of the four demonstration sites featuring flax varieties, fertilizers, herbicides, fungicides and seeding date and seeding rates. The group returned to the Research Station for lunch and a question-and-answer session on the national re-constituted flaxseed program. The day closed with a special presentation from the SaskFlax Board to IHARF in memory of Dr. Guy Lafond.

Promotional activities for the event included:

Item	Where displayed	Estimated audience
Event posting	Events calendar of SaskFlax, IHARF and Ministry of Agriculture websites	2,500
Web page/registration page	IHARF website	500
News release	SaskFlax website	500
Notice	Summer issue Canadian Flax Industry Update print newsletter	6,500
News release	Agriculture media and Saskatchewan Weekly Newspaper members	+100
Advertisement	The Western Producer	22,000
Pamphlet	Mailed to IHARF contact list	300
Announcement	Annual Indian Head Crop Management Field Day	200
Field signs	At the demonstration plots	265
Post-event news release	SaskFlax website	500
Report on the field day	Fall issue Canadian Flax Industry Update print newsletter	6,500

11. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- Flax yields were significantly reduced with excessive weed competition and increased with all herbicide treatments.
- Sulfentrazone applied on its own only controls a narrow spectrum of weeds and additional in-crop herbicide is generally recommended.
- MCPA on its own did not provide season long control of buckwheat, but was effective against many of the weeds present.
- Similar yields for remaining herbicide treatments.
- Foliar fungicide did not provide a statistically significant yield benefit in the absence of disease., however there was a tendency for higher yields with fungicide application.

12. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

These demonstrations were jointly funded by FMC Canada and the Agricultural Demonstration of Practices and Technologies (ADOPT) initiative under the Canada-Saskatchewan Growing Forward bilateral agreement. This support was acknowledged as follows:

- Signs acknowledging ADOPT and FMC were in place for both the IHARF Crop Management Field
 Day (194 attendees) on July 23, 2013 and the Flax Crop Walk on July 25, 2013 (68 attendees)
- advertisement in The Western Producer on July 11, 2013
- insert mailed to IHARF's contact list of 7,000
- articles in both the summer and fall issues of the 2013 Canadian Flax Industry Update, a newsletter mailed to registered flax producers in Saskatchewan and Manitoba
- news releases both prior to and following the field day on July 25, 2013

13. APPENDICES

No additional appendices are included with this report.

14. ABSTRACT/SUMMARY

Indian Head Agricultural Research Foundation conducted a field demonstration on behalf of SaskFlax to demonstrate some of the herbicide and fungicide options that are currently registered for flax in Saskatchewan.

Two separate field demonstrations were completed. The first focused on broadleaf herbicide options for flax while the second demonstrated the effects of registered foliar fungicide applications on flax. The demonstration showed that flax yields were significantly reduced with excessive weed competition and increased with all herbicide treatments. In addition, it was found that sulfentrazone applied on its own only applies a narrow spectrum of weeds and additional in-crop herbicide is generally recommended. MCPA on its own did not provide season long control of buckwheat, but was effective against many of the weeds present. Foliar fungicide did not provide a significant yield benefit in the absence of disease.

The project was demonstrated at the IHARF Crop Management Field Day (194 attendees) on July 23, 2013 and at the Flax Crop Tour on July 25, 2013 with 68 participants.